

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,133	12/12/2003	Jianbo Lu	81093041(FGT 1882 PA)	1924
28549 7	7590 01/12/2006	EXAMINER		INER
KEVIN G. MIERZWA			BEAULIEU, YONEL	
ARTZ & ART 28333 TELEG	Z, P.C. RAPH ROAD, SUITE 250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			3661	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/735,133	LU, JIANBO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yonel Beaulieu	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 O	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 October 2005</u> .					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del>_</del>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Po 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/735,133 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 - 5, 7 - 12, 14, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okamoto (US 4,886,291).

Regarding claims 1, 3 – 5, 7 - 12, 14, 15, and 17, Okamoto teaches operating a roll stability control system for an automotive vehicle (figs. 1, 2, and 15) – the vehicle having a front and a rear brake system and a front and a rear active anti-roll bar system (figs. 1-2; note items connected to circuits 16; summary; col. 2, lines 13 – 33; col. 15, line 66 – col. 16, line 15 at least) - comprising: an active anti-roll bar system (300 in fig. 15; col. 3, lines 13 - 16; col. 13, line 45 – col. 14, line 27); a rollover sensing system generating a roll attitude signal indicative of an impending rollover of the vehicle (col. 4, lines 4 – 18 at least); and a controller (100) coupled to the active anti-roll bar system and the rollover sensing system, said controller controlling the active anti-roll bar to prevent the vehicle from rolling over in response to the roll attitude signal being between

Art Unit: 3661

a first and a second threshold and controlling the brake system (by way of item 106) to reduce a rolling moment of the vehicle based on tire force vector (col. 3, lines 54 – col. 4, line 18 at least).

Regarding claim 2, Okamoto further teaches controlling the active anti-roll bar system and the brake actuator to prevent the vehicle from rolling over (col. 4, lines 19 – 24 at least).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 13, 16, are 18 – 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okamoto ('291) in view of Mattson et al. (US 2004/0167701 A1).

Okamoto teaches all of the limitations of the above claims except for a lateral acceleration sensor, a yaw rate sensor, determining a wheel lifted condition indicative of a lifted wheel, a traction control system, and sequential/simultaneous control of the bar and brake actuators.

Page 4

However, Mattson et al. teaches, in the same field of endeavor of roll stability system for a vehicle (10), a lateral acceleration sensor, a yaw rate sensor, determining a wheel lifted condition indicative of a lifted wheel, a traction control system (see fig. 1; ¶¶ 0003, 0008 – 0010, 0012, 0028, 0029, 0032, and 0034 at least) and sequential and simultaneous operation of the control system (¶0043 at least).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Okamoto's teaching by including a lateral acceleration sensor, a yaw rate sensor, determining a wheel lifted condition indicative of a lifted wheel, a traction control system and sequential/simultaneous operation of the control system as evidenced by Mattson et al. in order to increase reliability of the system.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yonel Beaulieu whose telephone number is (571) 272-6955. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W 9-3; F 9-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas BLACK can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,133 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).